

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/804,287                                    | 03/12/2001  | Timothy Kolody       | MED 2 1118              | 7462             |
| 7590 05/04/2004                               |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Thomas E. Kocovsky                            |             |                      | HO, THOMAS Y            |                  |
| FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE 7th Floor |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 1100 Superior Avenue                          |             |                      | 3677                    |                  |
| Cleveland, OH 44114-2518                      |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| *  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|--|--|---|
| Advisory Action  | 09/804,287   | KOLODY ET AL.   |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|  | Thomas Y Ho  | 3677  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | oid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which  | ation. A proper reply to a name places the application in   |
|  | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   |  | to the first control of the control beautiful to  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount should be shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF   |  |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:  |   |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);  |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | elow);   |   |
| <ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the  |
| (d)  they present additional claims without cancelling   | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |   |
| 3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ion(s):  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se  | parate, timely filed amendment  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:   |  | dered but does NOT place the  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY to  | o issues which were newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |  |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |   |
| Claim(s) allowed: 1-20.  |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: 21-29.  |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr  | oved or b) disapproved by the  | ne Examiner.  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen   | it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | <del>.</del>  |
| 10.  | –  |   |
|  | RO<br>PRII   | BERT J. SANDY<br>MARY EXAMINER  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended claim 21 to further include functional recitations directed at creating forces to support a medical appliance. Further search and/or consideration would be required to determine if any allowable subject matter exists.